

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/769,169	01/30/2004	Louis J. Spadaccini	67,097-024; EH-11034	7800	
26096 7	590 09/19/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C. 400 WEST MAPLE ROAD			HOPKINS, F	HOPKINS, ROBERT A	
SUITE 350		· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
BIRMINGHA	M, MI 48009	•	1724		
DA		DATE MAILED: 09/19/2006	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/769,169	SPADACCINI ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Robert A. Hopkins	1724		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHO WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failur Any ro	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWNS is ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status			,		
2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Some</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro			
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□  Application 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 1-14 and 22-26 is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 15-21 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access	wn from consideration.  or election requirement.  er.  erted or b)  objected to by the I			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

Art Unit: 1724

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 15-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Jensvold et al(5409524).

Jensvold et al teaches a microporous polymer membrane comprising micropores that have been reduced in size from a first size to a second size by heat treatment , the second size being large enough to generally allow migration of a gas through the microporous polymer membrane and small enough to generally prevent migration of a liquid into the microporous polymer membrane, wherein the microporous polymer membrane is an amorphous fluoropolymer(column 2 lines 55-56, noting 9,9-bis(3,5-bis(3,5-dibromo-4-hydroxyphenyl)fluorene).

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-14 and 22-26 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Applicant notes that the current application and Spadaccini et al were, at the time of the invention of the current application, commonly owned. Therefore, as noted by

Art Unit: 1724

Applicant, the Spadaccini et al reference is disqualified as prior art. Therefore, the previous rejections to claims 1-14 and 22-26 are withdrawn.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 9-5-06 with regards to claims 15-21 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues Jensvold fails to disclose or suggest a microporous polymer membrane made of amorphous fluoropolymer as recited in Applicant's claim 15.

Examiner respectfully submits that Jensvold clearly teaches in column 2 lines 54-55 an amorphous fluoropolymer membrane. Examiner respectfully submits that the membrane can be an asymmetric membrane, as noted in column 3 lines 29-33, wherein the membrane has no definite form, which clearly meets the guidelines for an amorphous structure.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 10/769,169

Art Unit: 1724

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert A. Hopkins whose telephone number is 571-272-1159. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:30am-5pm, every Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on 571-272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Rah September 13, 2006 ROBERT A. HOPKINS PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4